Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/911,730	LOCHER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeff H. Aftergut	1733
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Jeff H. Aftergut.	(3)	
(2) <u>Abraham Ronai</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 September 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ No	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 9-29		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		•
, hl o		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
() * -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	▼ • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed how the prior art of record did not teach the use of an air flow being oriented alternately at an angle toward the filament sheet as viewed in the horizontal plane as set forth in Figure 3 of the specification. Requested per an examiner's amendment that applicant cancel the non-elected claims. Applicant's representative agreed to the cancallation of these claims.